

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

GREATER ATLANTA MALAYALEE)
ASSOCIATION, INC., and SATHI)
NAGARAJAN,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.)

MINI NAIR, SWAPNA MENON, ANJANA)
VARMA, SALINI SHAJEEV, SUPRIYA)
NAMBOODIRI, CHRISTINE ANDREWS,)
VIDYA BINEESH, RADHA GEETHA)
PALLIAMBIL, and ANUPAMA)
PURATTUPARAMBIL,)

Defendants.)

CIVIL ACTION
FILE NO. 25CV016312

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER**

The above-captioned case came before the undersigned, as Presiding Judge, for a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Interlocutory Injunction on December 4, 2025. Both sides were represented by counsel at the hearing. As announced at the hearing, the Court finds that the relief sought in the Motion is solely on behalf of Plaintiff Greater Atlanta Malayalee Association, Inc. ("GAMA"). Before the Court could address the merits of the motion, the Court had to determine whether Plaintiff GAMA had standing to obtain the equitable relief sought.

Based upon the proffers of the attorneys and the evidence presented at the emergency hearing, the Court made the following factual findings solely for the purpose of the instant motion:¹ the Court finds that the resignations submitted by the individual Defendants in

¹ This case is not assigned to this Court, and these findings are not binding on the assigned judge as to a final determination on the merits of the underlying case.

September and November of 2025 were effective when tendered. Accordingly, at the time of the filing of the instant motion and at the time of the emergency hearing, GAMA's Executive Committee lacked a sufficient number of members to reach a quorum. Under the plain terms of GAMA's Constitution, the Executive Committee, as GAMA's governing body, cannot make decisions or act on behalf of the corporation without a quorum. Thus, for purposes of the instant motion, there is no Executive Committee available to authorize the assertion of equitable claims on behalf of GAMA, and therefore GAMA lacks standing to obtain the relief sought. The Court did not find credible Plaintiff's arguments that Ms. Nagarajan (as President of GAMA or in her personal capacity) was authorized under GAMA's Constitution or under Georgia law to assert claims for equitable relief on behalf of GAMA in the absence of an express directive from the Executive Committee.

As such, the Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Interlocutory Injunction is hereby DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 5th day of December, 2025.



Hon. Emily K. Richardson
Superior Court of Fulton County